arXiv:1209.2597v1 [math.CO] 12 Sep 2012

WEIGHTED SCHUR FUNCTIONS

HIRAKU ABE AND TOMOO MATSUMURA

Abstract. We introduce the weighted (factorial) Schur functions and establish the correspondence between those functions and the (equivariant) Schubert classes for the weighted Grassmannians. This generalizes the well-known correspondence between (factorial) Schur functions and (equivariant) Schubert classes in the (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$ be the set of partitions with at most d rows. For every $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, the factorial Schur function $s_\lambda(x|a)$ ([2]) is defined as a polynomial in the variables $(x_1, \cdots, x_{\mathsf{d}})$ and $(a_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see (2.1) for the defining formula). They form a $\mathbb{Z}[a]$-module basis of $\mathbb{Z}[a][x]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$ which is the ring of symmetric polynomials in x-variables with the coefficients in the polynomial ring of a-variables. On the other hand, let $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ be the Grassmannian of complex d-dimensional subspaces in the complex n-plane $\mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{n}}$. The standard n-torus T^{n} action on $\mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{n}}$ induces the T^{n}-action on $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ and we have the equivariant cohomology $H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n});\mathbb{Z})$ which is an algebra over the polynomial ring $H^*(B\mathsf{T}^*;\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_{\mathsf{n}}]$. The Schubert varieties in $\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ are T^{n}-invariant subvarieties indexed by the partitions $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ where $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ is the set of the partitions contained in the $\mathsf{d}\times(\mathsf{n}-\mathsf{d})$ rectangle. The corresponding equivariant Schubert classes $\widetilde{S}_\lambda$ form a $\mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_{\mathsf{n}}]$-module basis of the equivariant cohomology. The factorial Schur functions represent the equivariant cohomology rings of Grassmannians in a sense that there is a surjective $\mathbb{Z}[a]$-algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}[a][x]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}} \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n});\mathbb{Z})$$

that sends $s_\lambda(x|a)$ to $\widetilde{S}_\lambda$ if $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$, or 0 otherwise ([8]). Here the $\mathbb{Z}[a]$-action on the RHS is given by the projection $\mathbb{Z}[a] \to \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \cdots, y_{\mathsf{n}}]$ sending $a_i \mapsto -y_{\mathsf{n}+1-i}$ if $1 \le i \le \mathsf{n}$, or 0 otherwise. This picture specializes to the Schur functions and the ordinary cohomology of Grassmannians by setting a-variables and y-variables to zero, i.e. there is a surjective $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra homomorphism from the ring of symmetric polynomials in x-variables to the ordinary cohomology

$$\mathbb{Z}[x]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}} \to H^*(\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n});\mathbb{Z}).$$

One of the advantages of these correspondences is that we can study the structure constants by multiplying actual polynomials. Similar examples include the (double/quantum) Schubert polynomials ([4, 9, 10]) for (equivariant/quantum) cohomolgoy of full flag varieties and (factorial) Schur Q-polynomials ([5, 6, 7]) for (equivariant) cohomology of Lagrangian Grassmannians, e.t.c.

Date: September 13, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05E05 ; Secondary: 05E15, 57R18.

Key words and phrases. weighted Schur functions, weighted Grassmannians, Schubert calculus.

In this paper, we will introduce the *weighted (factorial) Schur functions* that are obtained as a variant of the factorial Schur functions $s_\lambda(x|a)$. In the sense mentioned above, these functions will present the equivariant cohomology of the weighted Grassmannians introduced by Corti-Reid [3]. Below we summarize the results in this paper in detail.

We introduce the new sets of variables $\mathsf{v} = (\mathsf{v}_1, \cdots, \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{d})$ and $\mathsf{w} = (\mathsf{w}_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}} := \mathsf{v}_1 + \cdots + \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{d}$ and $x_{\mathrm{ch}} = x_1 + \cdots + x_\mathsf{d}$. For each partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, we define the *weighted factorial Schur function* $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ by

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) := s_\lambda(x^{\mathsf{v}}|a^{\mathsf{vw}})$$

where $x^{\mathsf{v}} = (x^{\mathsf{v}}_1, \cdots, x^{\mathsf{v}}_\mathsf{d})$ and $a^{\mathsf{vw}} = (a^{\mathsf{vw}}_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ are the *shifted* sequences of variables defined by

$$x^{\mathsf{v}}_i := x_i - \frac{\mathsf{v}_i}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} x_{\mathrm{ch}}, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \mathsf{d}, \qquad \text{and} \qquad a^{\mathsf{vw}}_l := a_l - \frac{\mathsf{w}_l}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} x_{\mathrm{ch}}, \quad l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

These functions lie in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a][\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x]$ of polynomials in $\mathsf{w}, a, \mathsf{v}, x$, localized at

$$\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathsf{w}_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{d}} \mathsf{w}_{\bar{\lambda}_i} \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_i := b^\lambda_i + (\mathsf{d} - i + 1)$ for the number b^λ_i of boxes at the i-th rows of λ. Moreover $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ is invariant under the simultaneous permutations on v and x variables, i.e. $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_\mathsf{d}}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$. We also define the *weighted Schur functions* by $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x) := s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|0)$. Let $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$ and wSch be the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$- and $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$-submodules generated by $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ and $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x)$ respectively, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}} &:= \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a] \cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) \quad \subset \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_\mathsf{d}} \text{ and} \\ \mathsf{wSch} &:= \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}} \cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x) \quad \subset \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_\mathsf{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Apparently $\mathsf{wSch} = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[a]} \widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$, but also we can show that $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}} = \mathbb{Z}[a] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathsf{wSch}$ (Lemma 2.8).

The first part of the main results of this paper is summarized as follows.

Theorem A. *$\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_\mathsf{d}}$ and $\{s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-module basis of $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$. Similarly, wSch is a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_\mathsf{d}}$ and $\{s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x)\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$-module basis of wSch.*

For the proofs, we rely on the Littlewood-Richardson type formula for the factorial Schur functions obtained by Molev-Sagan [11, Theorem 3.1.] but particularly we derive and use the generalizations of the classical formulas, namely Weighted Vanishing Lemma (Lemma 2.6) and Weighted Pieri Rules (Lemma 2.7) for the weighted factorial Schur fuctions.

The second part is to relate the weighted (factorial) Schur functions to the (equivariant) cohomology of weighted Grassmannians. Fix an infinite sequence $(w_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative integers and a positive integer u. For each $\mathsf{n} > \mathsf{d}$, let $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ be the weighted Grassmannian assciated to the weight $(w_\mathsf{n}, \cdots, w_1)$ and u, with the standard torus T^n-action. The bar in the notation reminds us to use the backward order of the weights. The equivariant cohomology $H^*_{\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}); \mathbb{Q})$ is an algebra over $H^*(B\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}; \mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}]$ and recall that the equivariant weighted Schubert classes $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}_\lambda$ for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ introduced in [1] form a $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}]$-module basis. Similarly the weighted Schubert classes $\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda$ form a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}); \mathbb{Q})$.

Theorem B. *We have the algebra homomorphisms*

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}} : \widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n});\mathbb{Q}) \quad \textit{and} \quad \Phi_{\mathsf{n}} : \mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch} \to H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n});\mathbb{Q})$$

that send (factorial) weighted Schur functions to (equivariant) weighted Schubert classes.

These homomorphisms are as algebras over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ respectively and their actions on the cohomology rings are given by sending

$$\mathsf{w}_l \mapsto w_l + u/\mathsf{d} \;\text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{and} \quad a_l \mapsto \begin{cases} -y_{\mathsf{n}+1-l} & \text{if } 1 \le l \le \mathsf{n} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We will also present $\widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}}$ and $\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}$ as the cohomology of certain inductive limits constructed from weighted Grassmannians. This gives a topological explanation for the existences of the rings $\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}$ and $\widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}}$. For each n, there is a natural inclusion $\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}} : \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}) \to \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}+1)$ which is equivariant with respect to $\rho_{\mathsf{n}} : \mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \to \mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1}, (t_1,\cdots,t_{\mathsf{n}}) \to (1,t_1,\cdots,t_{\mathsf{n}})$ (see Section 3 for the detail). We let

$$\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty) := \varinjlim \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}} := \varinjlim (E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \times_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})).$$

By using a general theory of the inductive/projective limits in homological algebras, there exist the weighted Schubert classes defined in the cohomology of these limit spaces. Then we conclude that there are algebra isomorphisms sending (factorial) weighted Schur functions to (equivariant) weighted Schubert classes

$$\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}} \mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\mathrm{w}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty);\mathbb{Q}) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[a]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]} \widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}};\mathbb{Q}),$$

where $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[a]}$ is the ring of polynomials in a-variables that are possibly infinite linear combinations of finite degree monomials and the tensor product is given by evaluating w_l by $w_l + u/\mathsf{d}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank the organizers of "MSJ Seasonal Institute 2012 Schubert calculus" for providing us an excellent environment to discuss about the topic of this paper. The first author is grateful to Takashi Otofuji for many helpful comments. He is supported by JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists. The second author is supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grants funded by the Korea government (MEST) (No. 2012-0000795, 2011-0001181). He also would like to express his gratitude to the Algebraic Structure and its Application Research Institute at KAIST for providing him an excellent research environment in 2011-2012.

2. Weighted (Factorial) Schur Functions

2.1. **Preliminaries.** Fix a positive integer d. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$ be the set of partitions with at most d rows. For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, the number of boxes at the i-th row is denoted by b_i^{λ} where $i = 1,\cdots,\mathsf{d}$. Let $x = (x_1,\cdots,x_{\mathsf{d}})$ and $a = (a_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequences of variables. Let $\mathbb{Z}[a]$ be the polynomial ring in a_l's, by which we mean the ring of finite linear combinations of monomials in a_l's with finite degrees. Let $\mathbb{Z}[x]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$ be the symmetric polynomial ring where $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}$ denotes the permutation group on d letters. Recall that the *factorial Schur function* $s_\lambda(x|a)$ is defined as follows (c.f. [11]). For each $k > 0$, let

$$(y|a)^k := (y - a_1)\cdots(y - a_k).$$

Define, for each partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$,

$$s_\lambda(x|a) := \frac{\det[(x_j|a)^{b_i^\lambda+d-i}]_{1\le i,j\le \mathsf{d}}}{\prod_{i<j}(x_i-x_j)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Although $s_\lambda(x|a)$ is a rational function a priori, it is actually a positive degree polynomial function that involves finitely many variables. In fact, we have the following combinatorial formula

$$s_\lambda(x|a) = \sum_T \prod_{\alpha\in\lambda}(x_{T(\alpha)} - a_{T(\alpha)+c(\alpha)})$$

where T runs over all semi-standard tableaux of the shape λ with entries in $\{1,\cdots,\mathsf{d}\}$, $T(\alpha)$ is the entry of the box α in λ, and $c(\alpha) := j-i$ if α is in the i-th row and the j-th column. The ordinary Schur functions $s_\lambda(x)$ can be obtained by specializing $s_\lambda(x|a)$ at $a_l = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. The factorial Schur functions form a $\mathbb{Z}[a]$-module basis of $\mathbb{Z}[a]\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[x]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$ and the Littlewood-Richardson type formula for the structure constants $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu(a) \in \mathbb{Z}[a]$ is obtained by Molev-Sagan [11]. They actually computed more general structure constants $c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu(a,b) \in \mathbb{Z}[a,b]$ defined by

$$s_\lambda(x|b)\cdot s_\mu(x|a) = \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} c_{\lambda\mu}^\nu(a,b) s_\nu(x|a), \tag{2.2}$$

where $b = (b_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ is another infinite sequence of variables.

Definition 2.1. For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, let $\bar\lambda = (\bar\lambda_1 > \cdots > \bar\lambda_{\mathsf{d}})$ be the strictly decreasing sequence of integers defined by

$$\bar\lambda_i := b_i^\lambda + (\mathsf{d}-i+1) \quad \text{for all } i = 1,\cdots,\mathsf{d}. \tag{2.3}$$

For each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, we introduce a $\mathbb{Z}[a]$-algebra homomorphism

$$\psi_\mu : \mathbb{Z}[a]\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[x]^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}} \to \mathbb{Z}[a] \quad \text{by} \quad x_i \mapsto a_{\bar\mu_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1,\cdots,\mathsf{d}. \tag{2.4}$$

Lemma 2.2 (Vanishing Lemma, [12], c.f. [11]). *Let* $a_\lambda := \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{d}} a_{\bar\lambda_i}$ *for each* $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$. *For each* $\lambda,\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, *we have*

$$\psi_\mu(s_\lambda(x|a)) = s_\lambda(a_{\bar\mu_{\mathsf{d}}},\cdots,a_{\bar\mu_{\mathsf{d}}}|a) = \begin{cases} 0 & \textit{if } \mu \not\supseteq \lambda \\ \prod_{\rho\in[\lambda]_-}(a_\lambda - a_\rho) & \textit{if } \mu = \lambda, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

where $[\lambda]_-$ *is the set of partitions* ρ *such that* $\rho \subset \lambda$ *and* $|\{\bar\rho_1,\cdots,\bar\rho_{\mathsf{d}}\}\cap\{\bar\lambda_1,\cdots,\bar\lambda_{\mathsf{d}}\}| = \mathsf{d}-1$.

2.2. Definition of Weighted (Factorial) Schur Functions.

In order to define the weighted Schur functions, we introduce new sets of variables $\mathsf{w} := (\mathsf{w}_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathsf{v} = (\mathsf{v}_1,\cdots,\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{d}})$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]$ be the corresponding polynomial rings. Let $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ be the localization of the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]$ at the multiplicative subset

$$\{\mathsf{w}_{\lambda^{(1)}}\cdots\mathsf{w}_{\lambda^{(k)}} \mid \lambda^{(1)},\cdots,\lambda^{(k)} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}), k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\}$$

where $\mathsf{w}_\lambda := \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{d}} \mathsf{w}_{\bar\lambda_i}$ for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$. Similarly let $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$ be the localization of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]$ at the multiplicative subset $\{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}^l \mid l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}\}$ where $\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}} := \mathsf{v}_1 + \cdots \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{d}}$. We denote $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a] := \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[a]$. Let $(\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_d}$ be the invariant ring of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x] := \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}[x]$ under the simultaneous permutations on the variable sets x and v. We denote $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$ for $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$. We adapt the same notational convention for $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$ and so on.

We introduce the *shifted sequences* $x^{\mathsf{v}} = (x_1^{\mathsf{v}},\cdots,x_{\mathsf{d}}^{\mathsf{v}})$ and $a^{\mathsf{vw}} = (a_i^{\mathsf{vw}})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ by

$$x_i^{\mathsf{v}} := x_i - \frac{\mathsf{v}_i}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}}x_{\mathrm{ch}} \quad \text{for all } i = 1,\cdots,\mathsf{d} \qquad \text{and} \qquad a_l^{\mathsf{vw}} := a_l - \frac{\mathsf{w}_l}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}}x_{\mathrm{ch}} \quad \text{for all } l \in \mathbb{N}$$

where $x_{\mathrm{ch}} := x_1 + \cdots + x_{\mathsf{d}}$. We denote $0^{\mathsf{vw}}_l := a^{\mathsf{vw}}_l|_{a_l=0}, l \in \mathbb{N}$, and the corresponding sequence by 0^{vw}.

Definition 2.3. For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, the *weighted factorial Schur function* $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a][\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x]$ is defined by

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) := s_\lambda(x^{\mathsf{v}}|a^{\mathsf{vw}})$$

Similarly for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, the *weighted Schur function* $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x]$ is defined by

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x) := s_\lambda(x^{\mathsf{v}}|0^{\mathsf{vw}}).$$

Since $s_\lambda(x|a)$ is invariant under the permutations on x-varianbles, it is not hard to see from this definition that $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ is invariant under the simultaneous permutations on x and v variables, i.e.

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}} \quad \text{and} \quad s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}.$$

Example 2.4. We list a few examples of $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ and $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x)$. Let $\mathsf{w}_{\mathrm{ch}} := \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{d}} \mathsf{w}_i$ and $a_{\mathrm{ch}} := \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{d}} a_i$. Let $\square \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$ be the partition given by $b^{\square}_1 = 1$ and $b^{\square}_2 = \cdots = b^{\square}_{\mathsf{d}} = 0$.

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\square}(\mathsf{v}; x|a) = \frac{\mathsf{w}_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} x_{\mathrm{ch}} - a_{\mathrm{ch}} \tag{2.6}$$

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\square}(\mathsf{v}; x) = \frac{\mathsf{w}_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} x_{\mathrm{ch}} \tag{2.7}$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$ be a partition with one box only at the first and second row, i.e. $b^\lambda_1 = b^\lambda_2 = 1$ and $b^\lambda_3 = \cdots = b^\lambda_{\mathsf{d}} = 0$. Then

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le d} \big(x_i - a_i - \frac{\mathsf{v}_i - \mathsf{w}_i}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} x_{\mathrm{ch}}\big)\big(x_j - a_{j-1} - \frac{\mathsf{v}_j - \mathsf{w}_{j-1}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} x_{\mathrm{ch}}\big). \tag{2.8}$$

Definition 2.5. We extend the homomorphism ψ_μ to a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-algebra homomorphism ψ^{vw}_μ

$$\psi^{\mathsf{vw}}_\mu : \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}} \to \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]; \qquad x_i \mapsto a_{\bar{\mu}_i} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{v}_i \mapsto \mathsf{w}_{\bar{\mu}_i} \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \cdots, \mathsf{d}.$$

Observe that this is well-defined since v_{ch} maps to $\mathsf{w}_\mu \neq 0$. We denote ψ^{vw}_μ by ψ_μ when there is no confusion.

Lemma 2.6 (Vanishing Lemma)**.** *For each $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$, we have*

$$\psi_\mu(s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu \not\supset \lambda \\ \prod_{\rho \in [\lambda]_-} \left(\frac{\mathsf{w}_\rho}{\mathsf{w}_\lambda} a_\lambda - a_\rho\right) & \text{if } \mu = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $a^\mu = ((a^\mu)_l)_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the *μ-shifted sequence of a* defined by

$$(a^\mu)_l := a_l - \frac{\mathsf{w}_l}{\mathsf{w}_\mu} a_\mu.$$

Since $\psi_\mu(x^{\mathsf{v}}_i) = (a^\mu)_{\bar{\mu}_i}$ for $i = 1, \cdots, \mathsf{d}$ and $\psi_\mu(a^{\mathsf{vw}}_l) = (a^\mu)_l$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we find from (2.5) that

$$\psi_\mu(s_\lambda(x^{\mathsf{v}}|a^{\mathsf{vw}})) = s_\lambda((a^\mu)_{\bar{\mu}_1}, \ldots, (a^\mu)_{\bar{\mu}_{\mathsf{d}}}|a^\mu) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu \not\supseteq \lambda \\ \prod_{\rho \in [\lambda]_-} ((a^\lambda)_\lambda - (a^\lambda)_\rho) & \text{if } \mu = \lambda. \end{cases}$$

We finish the proof by computing

$$(a^\lambda)_\lambda - (a^\lambda)_\rho = \Big(a_\lambda - \frac{\mathsf{w}_\lambda}{\mathsf{w}_\lambda} a_\lambda\Big) - \Big(a_\rho - \frac{\mathsf{w}_\rho}{\mathsf{w}_\lambda} a_\lambda\Big) = \frac{\mathsf{w}_\rho}{\mathsf{w}_\lambda} a_\lambda - a_\rho.$$

□

2.3. **Algebras of weighted (factorial) Schur functions.** Let $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$ generated by $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x|a)$'s:

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}} := \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(d)} \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]\cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x|a).$$

Similarly let wSch be the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$-submodule of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$ generated by $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x)$'s:

$$\mathsf{wSch} := \sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(d)} \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}\cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x).$$

Our goal in this section is to show that these submodules $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$ and wSch are acutually subalgebras and also to prove that the weighted factorial Schur functions form a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-module basis of $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$. The linear independency of weighted Schur fucntions will be postponed until Section 4.

To begin with, observe that we have

$$s^{\mathsf{w}'}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x|a')\cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\mu}(\mathsf{v};x|a) = \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(a^{\mathsf{vw}}, a'^{\mathsf{vw}'}) s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\nu}(\mathsf{v};x|a) \tag{2.9}$$

by substituting $a\mapsto a^{\mathsf{vw}}$, $a'\mapsto a'^{\mathsf{vw}'}$ and $x\mapsto x^{\mathsf{v}}$ in (2.2). This is *not* an expansion formula of a product of two weighted factorial Schur functions over a- and a'-variables: one should notice that each $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(a'^{\mathsf{vw}'}, a^{\mathsf{vw}})$ contains x-variables. Here, the product is taken in the ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]\otimes\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}']_{\mathrm{loc}}[a']\otimes(\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$.

Lemma 2.7 (Weighted Pieri Rule)**.**

$$s^{\mathsf{w}'}_{\square}(\mathsf{v};x|a')\cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x|a) \;=\; \left(\frac{\mathsf{w}'_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{w}_{\lambda}}a_{\lambda}-a'_{\mathrm{ch}}\right)s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x|a)+\sum_{\lambda'\to\lambda}\frac{\mathsf{w}'_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{w}_{\lambda}}s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda'}(\mathsf{v};x|a) \tag{2.10}$$

$$s^{\mathsf{w}'}_{\square}(\mathsf{v};x)\cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x) \;=\; \sum_{\lambda'\to\lambda}\frac{\mathsf{w}'_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{w}_{\lambda}}s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda'}(\mathsf{v};x) \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. From Theorem 3.1. in [11], we find that

$$s_{\square}(x|a')\cdot s_{\lambda}(x|a) = (a_{\lambda}-a'_{\mathrm{ch}})s_{\lambda}(x|a)+\sum_{\lambda'\to\lambda}s_{\lambda'}(x|a).$$

By substituting $a\mapsto a^{\mathsf{vw}}$, $a'\mapsto a'^{\mathsf{vw}'}$ and $x\mapsto x^{\mathsf{v}}$ as in (2.9), we obtain

$$s_{\square}(x^{\mathsf{v}}|a'^{\mathsf{vw}'})\cdot s_{\lambda}(x^{\mathsf{v}}|a^{\mathsf{vw}}) \;=\; (a^{\mathsf{vw}}_{\lambda}-a'^{\mathsf{vw}'}_{\mathrm{ch}})s_{\lambda}(x^{\mathsf{v}}|a^{\mathsf{vw}})+\sum_{\lambda'\to\lambda}s_{\lambda'}(x^{\mathsf{v}}|a^{\mathsf{vw}})$$

By the definition of a^{vw} and (2.6), we have

$$a^{\mathsf{vw}}_{\lambda}-a'^{\mathsf{vw}'}_{\mathrm{ch}} = (a_{\lambda}-a'_{\mathrm{ch}})-\frac{\mathsf{w}_{\lambda}-\mathsf{w}'_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}}x_{\mathrm{ch}} = (a_{\lambda}-\frac{\mathsf{w}_{\lambda}}{\mathsf{w}'_{\mathrm{ch}}}a'_{\mathrm{ch}})-\frac{\mathsf{w}_{\lambda}-\mathsf{w}'_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{w}'_{\mathrm{ch}}}s^{\mathsf{w}'}_{\square}(\mathsf{v};x|a')$$

After substituting this to the previous equation, it is straightforward to obtain the desired formula. □

Lemma 2.8. *As submodules of* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$*, we have*

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}} = \mathbb{Z}[a]\otimes\mathsf{wSch}\,.$$

Proof. First we prove that $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}\subset\mathbb{Z}[a]\otimes\mathsf{wSch}$, i.e. for each λ, $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x|a)\in\mathbb{Z}[a]\otimes\mathsf{wSch}$. Setting $\mu=\emptyset$, $a_i=0$ and $\mathsf{w}'_i=\mathsf{w}_i$ for all $i\in\mathbb{N}$, and substituting $a'_i\mapsto a_i$ for all $i\in\mathbb{N}$ in (2.9), we obtain

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v};x|a) = \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} c^{\nu}_{\lambda\emptyset}(0^{\mathsf{vw}}, a^{\mathsf{vw}})s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\nu}(\mathsf{v};x).$$

Since $a_l^{\mathsf{vw}} = a_l - \mathsf{w}_l\frac{x_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}}$ and $0_l^{\mathsf{vw}} = -\mathsf{w}_l\frac{x_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}}$, $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\emptyset}(a^{\mathsf{vw}}, 0^{\mathsf{vw}})$ is a polynomial in $x_{\mathrm{ch}}/\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$. Since we have (2.7), the weighted Pieri rule (2.11) implies that $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ is a linear combination of $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\nu}(\mathsf{v}; x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$. Thus we conclude that $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x|a) \in \mathbb{Z}[a] \otimes \mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}$.

To prove that $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}} \supset \mathbb{Z}[a] \otimes \mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}$, it suffices to show that $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x) \in \widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$ for each λ. We use the similar argument as above. After setting $\mu = \emptyset$ and $a'_l = 0$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ in (2.9), the equation (2.6) and the weighted Pieri rule (2.10) imply that $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x) \in \widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$. □

Proposition 2.9. wSch *is a* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$*-subalgebra of* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$. *In particular,* $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$*-subalgebra of* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$.

Proof. By evaluating $a_l = a'_l = 0$ and $\mathsf{w}'_l = \mathsf{w}_l$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ in (2.9), we have

$$s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x) \cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\mu}(\mathsf{v}; x) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(0^{\mathsf{vw}}, 0^{\mathsf{vw}}) s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\nu}(\mathsf{v}; x).$$

Since $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(a, a)$ is a polynomial in $\{a_k - a_l, k, l \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $c^{\nu}_{\lambda\mu}(0^{\mathsf{vw}}, 0^{\mathsf{vw}})$ is a polynomial in

$$-\mathsf{w}_k\frac{x_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} - \left(-\mathsf{w}_l\frac{x_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}}\right) = -(\mathsf{w}_k - \mathsf{w}_l)\frac{x_{\mathrm{ch}}}{\mathsf{v}_{\mathrm{ch}}} = -(\mathsf{w}_k - \mathsf{w}_l)\frac{s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\square}(\mathsf{v}; x)}{\mathsf{w}_{\mathrm{ch}}}.$$

Therefore by the weighted Pieri rule (2.11), the product $s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x) \cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\mu}(\mathsf{v}; x)$ is a linear combination of $\{s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\nu}(\mathsf{v}; x)\}_{\nu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$. Now the latter claim follows from Lemma 2.8. □

Proposition 2.10. $\{s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x|a)\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})}$ *is a* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$*-basis of* $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$.

Proof. By the definition of $\widetilde{\mathsf{wSch}}$, it is sufficient to show the linear independency. Suppose

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} f_{\lambda}(\mathsf{w}, a) \cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x|a) = 0$$

for some f_{λ}'s in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$. Let μ be a minimal (with respect to the inclusion) partition among those λ such that f_{λ} is not identically zero, i.e. there is no λ such $\mu \subset \lambda$ and $f_{\lambda} \neq 0$ except μ itself. Thus by the Vanishing Lemma 2.6, we have

$$0 = \psi_{\mu}\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} f_{\lambda} \cdot s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\lambda}(\mathsf{v}; x|a)\right) = f_{\mu}\psi_{\mu}(s^{\mathsf{w}}_{\mu}(\mathsf{v}; x|a)) = f_{\mu}\prod_{\rho \in [\mu]_{-}}\left(\frac{\mathsf{w}_{\rho}}{\mathsf{w}_{\mu}}a_{\mu} - a_{\rho}\right).$$

Since $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$ has no zero divisor, we have $f_{\lambda} = 0$. This is a contradiction. □

3. (Equivariant) Cohomology of Weighted Grassmannians

In the rest of the paper, all cohomologies are over $\mathbb{Q}$-coefficients unless otherwise specified.

3.1. **Review of Weighted Grassmannians and Weighted Schubert Classes.** Let us fix an infinite sequence $\{w_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of non-negative integers and a positive integer u. In this section, we recollect from [1] a few facts about the cohomology of the weighted Grassmannians $\overline{\mathsf{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ with the weight $(\bar{w}_1, \cdots, \bar{w}_{\mathsf{n}}) = (w_{\mathsf{n}}, \cdots, w_1)$.

For a natural number $\mathsf{n} > \mathsf{d}$, let $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ be the set of partitions that are contained in the $\mathsf{d} \times (\mathsf{n} - \mathsf{d})$ rectangle. Upon a choice of n, we identify $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ with the set $\genfrac{\{}{\}}{0pt}{}{\mathsf{n}}{\mathsf{d}}$ of subsets of $\{1, \cdots, \mathsf{n}\}$ with cardinality d by

$$\lambda \mapsto \{\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_{\mathsf{d}}\} \quad \text{where} \quad \lambda_i := \mathsf{n} + 1 - \bar{\lambda}_i, \quad i = 1, \cdots, \mathsf{d} \tag{3.1}$$

where $\bar{\lambda}_i$ is defined at (2.3).

Let $\{\mathsf{e}_1, \cdots, \mathsf{e}_\mathsf{n}\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{C}^\mathsf{n}$ for each $\mathsf{n} > \mathsf{d}$. We define $\mathrm{aPl}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ to be the image of

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^\mathsf{n} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}^\mathsf{n}}_{\mathsf{d}} \to \wedge^\mathsf{d}\mathbb{C}^\mathsf{n}, \quad (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_\mathsf{d}) \mapsto \alpha_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha_\mathsf{d}$$

and let $\mathrm{aPl}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})^\times := \mathrm{aPl}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})\backslash\{0\}$. The standard action of the n-dimensional complex torus $\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}_\mathbb{C}$ on $\mathbb{C}^\mathsf{n}$ induces an action of $\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}_\mathbb{C}$ on $\mathrm{aPl}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})^\times$. The twisted diagonal subgroup $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathsf{D}_\mathbb{C}$ of $\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}_\mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathsf{D}_\mathbb{C} := \{(t^{\mathsf{d}\bar{w}_1+u}, \cdots, t^{\mathsf{d}\bar{w}_\mathsf{n}+u}) \mid t \in \mathbb{C}^\times\}.$$

The weighted Grassmannian $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ for the weights $(\bar{w}_1, \cdots, \bar{w}_\mathsf{n})$ is defined by

$$\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}) := \mathrm{aPl}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})^\times / \, \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathsf{D}^\mathsf{n}_\mathbb{C}.$$

The real subtorus T^n in $\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}_\mathbb{C}$ acts on $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ through the quotient map $\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n} \to \mathrm{w}\mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{n}-1} := \mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}/\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n} \cap \mathrm{w}\mathsf{D}^\mathsf{n}_\mathbb{C}$. There is the bijection between $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ and the fixed point set F_n for the T^n-action on $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ sending $\lambda \in \left\{ {\mathsf{n} \atop \mathsf{d}} \right\} = \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ to the equivalent class of $\mathsf{e}_{\lambda_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{e}_{\lambda_\mathsf{d}}$ which we denote by $[\mathsf{e}_\lambda]$.

The linear inclusion $\wedge^\mathsf{d}\mathbb{C}^\mathsf{n} \mapsto \wedge^\mathsf{d}\mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{n}+1}$ sending $\mathsf{e}_{\lambda_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathsf{e}_{\lambda_\mathsf{d}} \mapsto \mathsf{e}_{\lambda_1+1} \wedge \cdots \mathsf{e}_{\lambda_\mathsf{d}+1}$ for each $\{\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_\mathsf{d}\} \in \left\{ {\mathsf{n} \atop \mathsf{d}} \right\}$ induces a map $\iota_\mathsf{n} : \mathrm{aPl}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})^\times \to \mathrm{aPl}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}+1)^\times$. This is equivariant under the homomorphism $\rho_\mathsf{n} : \mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}_\mathbb{C} \to \mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1}_\mathbb{C}$ which sends $(t_1, \cdots, t_\mathsf{n})$ to $(1, t_1, \cdots, t_\mathsf{n})$, and hence induces the ρ_n-equivariant map

$$\mathrm{w}\iota_\mathsf{n} : \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}) \to \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}+1).$$

This restricts to the inclusion $F_n \hookrightarrow F_{\mathsf{n}+1}$ which corresponds to the natural inclusion $\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}) \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}+1)$ and the inclusion $\left\{ {\mathsf{n} \atop \mathsf{d}} \right\} \hookrightarrow \left\{ {\mathsf{n}+1 \atop \mathsf{d}} \right\}$ given by $\{\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_\mathsf{d}\} \mapsto \{\lambda_1+1 < \cdots < \lambda_\mathsf{d}+1\}$. Let $y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}$ be the standard basis of the weight lattice $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n})^*_\mathbb{Z}$ for every n. We identify $H^*(B\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}) = \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}]$ and ρ_n induces the projection

$$\rho^*_\mathsf{n} : \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_{\mathsf{n}+1}] \to \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_n]; \quad y_1 \mapsto 0 \quad \text{and} \quad y_i \mapsto y_{i-1} \text{ for all } 2 \le i \le \mathsf{n}+1.$$

Recall that for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$, the equivariant weighted Schubert class $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\mathsf{n}_\lambda$ is defined as a class in $H^*_{\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{n}-1}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}))$ and that they form a $H^*(B\,\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{n}-1})$-basis. We use the same symbol $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\mathsf{n}_\lambda$ for the image of $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\mathsf{n}_\lambda$ under the map $H^*_{\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{n}-1}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})) \hookrightarrow H^*_{\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}))$ induced by the quotient map $\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n} \to \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathsf{R}^{\mathsf{n}-1}$. The following are easy to check and will be used in the rest of the paper.

(i) The equivariant weighted Schubert classes $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})$ form a $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}]$-module basis of $H^*_{\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n}))$.

(ii) The restriction map

$$H^*_{\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})) \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}}(F_\mathsf{n}) = \bigoplus_{[\mathsf{e}_\mu] \in F_\mathsf{n}} H^*_{\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}}([\mathsf{e}_\mu]) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d}, \mathsf{n})} \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}]$$

is a $\mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}]$-algebra homomorphism, and it is in fact injective. The image $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\mathsf{n}_\lambda|_\mu$ of $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\mathsf{n}_\lambda$ at the fixed point $[\mathsf{e}_\mu] \in F_\mathsf{n}$ is computed in [1, Proposition 6.1.] ;

$$\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\mathsf{n}_\lambda|_\mu = s_\lambda(-(y^\mu)_{\mu_1}, \cdots, -(y^\mu)_{\mu_\mathsf{d}} | -(y^\mu)_\mathsf{n}, \cdots, -(y^\mu)_1), \qquad (y^\mu)_i = y_i - \frac{\bar{w}_i}{\bar{w}_\mu} y_\mu$$

where $y_\mu := \sum_{i=1}^d y_{\mu_i}$ and $\bar{w}_\mu := \sum_{i=1}^d \bar{w}_{\mu_i}$.

(iii) The pullback map $\widetilde{\mathrm{w}\iota}_{\mathsf{n}}^* : H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}+1)) \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ is a $\mathbb{Q}[y_1,\cdots,y_{\mathsf{n}+1}]$-algebra homomorphism with respect to ρ_{n}^* and for each $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{w}\iota}_{\mathsf{n}}^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{n}+1}) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{n}} & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}), \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \notin \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\widetilde{\mathrm{w}\iota}_{\mathsf{n}}^*$ is surjective.

(iv) For each $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$, the weighted Schubert class $\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda$ is the image of $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}_\lambda$ under the natural map $H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})) \to H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ and they form a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$. The pullback map $\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}}^* : H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}+1)) \to H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}}^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{n}+1}) = \begin{cases} \overline{\mathrm{w}}S_{\lambda}^{\mathsf{n}} & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}), \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \notin \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}). \end{cases}$$

In particular, $\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}}^*$ is surjective.

3.2. Cohomology of $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)$.

By using the inclusions $\{\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}}, \mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we define

$$\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty) := \varinjlim \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}) = \bigcup_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}).$$

Since $\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}}^*$ is surjective for each n, we have $\varprojlim^1 H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})) = 0$ for each k. Therefore there is the $\mathbb{Q}$-linear isomorphism

$$H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)) \to \varprojlim H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})) \quad \text{for each } k \geq 0.$$

The cup products on $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ for all $\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ canonically define a structure of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra on the direct sum of $\varprojlim H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ over all $k \geq 0$ and we have

Proposition 3.1. *The inclusions induces a canonical* $\mathbb{Q}$*-algebra isomorphism*

$$H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)) \to \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \varprojlim H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})).$$

The property (iv), together with this proposition, defines the classes $\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda^\infty$ in $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty))$ such that the pullback $H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)) \to H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ sends $\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda^\infty$ to $\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda^{\mathsf{n}}$ if $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ and 0 otherwise.

Proposition 3.2. $\{\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda^\infty\}_{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})}$ *forms a* $\mathbb{Q}$*-basis of* $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty))$.

Proof. For each $k \geq 0$, the pull back gives us an isomorphism

$$H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)) \cong H^k(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})) = \bigoplus_{\substack{\lambda\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}) \\ l(\lambda)=k}} \mathbb{Q}\cdot\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda^{\mathsf{n}}$$

for a sufficiently large $\mathsf{n} > k$ where $l(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^d b_i^\lambda$ is the number of boxes in λ. By the definition of the elements $\{\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda^\infty\}$, they correspond to $\{\overline{\mathrm{w}}S_\lambda^{\mathsf{n}}\}$ which is a $\mathbb{Q}$-basis of the image, the claim follows. □

3.3. **Cohomology of equivariant analogue of $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)$.** Let $E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \to B\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}$ is a universal principal T^{n}-bundle in which $E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}$ is contractible. We choose a ρ_{n}-equivariant continuous map $E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \to E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1}$ for each n. Hence we have the induced maps $\rho'_{\mathsf{n}} : B\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \to B\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1}$ whose cohomology pullbacks are exactly the surjection ρ^*_{n}. Let $B\mathsf{T}_\infty := \varinjlim B\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}$ be the corresponding inductive limit. As in the last section, there is no $\lim^1$ and hence we have a $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism

$$H^*(B\mathsf{T}_\infty) \cong \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \varprojlim \mathbb{Q}[y_1,\cdots,y_{\mathsf{n}}]^{(k)} \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathbb{Q}[y_1,\cdots,y_{\mathsf{n}}]^{(k)}$ is the component of the cohomological degree $2k$. Let $(\overline{y}_l)_{l\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an infinite sequence of variables and let $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$ be the ring of polynomials in $\overline{y}_l$'s which are possibly infinite linear combinations of finite degree monomials. Then the RHS of (3.2) can be identified with $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$ through the homomorphisms

$$\theta_{\mathsf{n}} : \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]} \to \mathbb{Q}[y_1,\cdots,y_{\mathsf{n}}]; \quad \overline{y}_l \mapsto \begin{cases} y_{\mathsf{n}+1-l} & \text{if } 1\leq l \leq \mathsf{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } l > \mathsf{n}. \end{cases}$$

By using the ρ_{n}-equivariant map $\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}}$, define

$$\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}} := \varinjlim (E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \times_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})),$$

then we have the commutative diagrams for all n:

$$\begin{tikzcd} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}} \arrow[d] & E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \times_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}) \arrow[l] \arrow[d] \\ B\mathsf{T}_\infty & B\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \arrow[l] \end{tikzcd} \tag{3.3}$$

Note that the cohomology $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}})$ and the pullback $\widetilde{\mathrm{w}\iota}^*_{\mathsf{n}}$ do not depend on the choices of $E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}$'s and the maps $E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \to E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1}$ up to isomorphisms.

As in the last section, we have no $\lim^1$ term for the propjective system $\{H^k_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})), \widetilde{\mathrm{w}\iota}^*_{\mathsf{n}}\}$ for each k, therefore the top map in the above diagram induces the $\mathbb{Q}$-algebra isomorphism

$$H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}}) \to \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \varprojlim H^k_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})). \tag{3.4}$$

Since the right vertical maps of (3.3) commute with ρ'_{n} and $\widetilde{\mathrm{w}\iota_{\mathsf{n}}} : E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}} \times_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}) \to E\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1} \times_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}+1}} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}+1)$, the ring $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$ acts on the RHS of (3.4). Thus, by the commutativity of (3.3), the map (3.4) is actually a $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$-algebra isomorphism. With the property (iii), the isomorphism (3.4) defines the classes $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\infty_\lambda$ in $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}})$ such that the pullback $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}}) \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ sends $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\infty_\lambda$ to $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^{\mathsf{n}}_\lambda$ if $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ and 0 otherwise. Finally it is not difficult to see from the RHS of (3.4) that $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\infty_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$ form a $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$-module basis of $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}})$. We conclude this section by summarizing above as follows.

Proposition 3.3. *$H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}})$ is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$-algebra and there is a $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$-module basis $\{\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\infty_\lambda, \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})\}$ such that $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\infty_\lambda$ maps to $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^{\mathsf{n}}_\lambda$ under the pullback $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}}) \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$ for each n.*

4. CORRESPONDENCES OF FUNCTIONS AND COHOMOLOGY

Recall from Proposition 2.9, $\widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-subalgebra of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_{\mathsf{d}}}$. Let $\{w_l\}_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. For each $\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, define a ring homomorphism

$$\varphi^w_{\mathsf{n}} : \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a] \to \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_{\mathsf{n}}]; \quad \mathsf{w}_l \mapsto w_l + u/\mathsf{d} \quad \text{and} \quad a_l \mapsto \begin{cases} -y_{\mathsf{n}+1-l} & \text{if } 1 \leq l \leq \mathsf{n} \\ 0 & \text{if } l > \mathsf{n} \end{cases}$$

where l runs all the natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$. It is well-defined since

$$\mathsf{w}_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{d}} \mathsf{w}_{\bar{\mu}_i} \mapsto \overline{w}_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{d}} \overline{w}_{\mu_i} + u \neq 0.$$

These φ^w_{n}'s induce the algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi^w_\infty : \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a] \to \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}; \quad a_l \mapsto -\overline{y}_l \quad \text{and} \quad \mathsf{w}_l \mapsto w_l + u/\mathsf{d} \quad \text{for all } l \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem 4.1. *There is a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-algebra homomorphism*

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}} : \widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})); \qquad s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) \mapsto \begin{cases} \overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^{\mathsf{n}}_\lambda & \text{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where the action of $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$ on the RHS is given by φ^w_{n}. In particular, this defines a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-algebra homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\Phi}_\infty : \widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \to H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_{\mathsf{T}}); \qquad s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) \mapsto \overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\infty_\lambda$$

where the action $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$ on the RHS is given by φ^w_∞.

Proof. Consider the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]$-algebra homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \xrightarrow[(\psi^{\mathsf{vw}}_\mu)_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})}]{} \prod_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a] \longrightarrow \prod_{\mu\in\mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})} \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_{\mathsf{n}}] \tag{4.1}$$

where the second map is given by φ^w_{n} if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ and a trivial map if otherwise. If $\lambda \notin \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$, then for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$, we have $\mu \not\supseteq \lambda$ and therefore the image of $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ under (4.1) is 0. If $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$, then for all $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$, under the map (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a) &\overset{\psi_\mu}{\mapsto} s_\lambda((a^{\bar{\mu}})_{\bar{\mu}_1}, \cdots, (a^{\bar{\mu}})_{\bar{\mu}_{\mathsf{d}}} | a^{\bar{\mu}}), \qquad (a^{\bar{\mu}})_l = a_l - \frac{\mathsf{w}_l}{\mathsf{w}_{\bar{\mu}}} a_{\bar{\mu}} \\ &\overset{\varphi^w_{\mathsf{n}}}{\mapsto} s_\lambda(-(y^\mu)_{\mu_1}, \cdots, -(y^\mu)_{\mu_{\mathsf{d}}} | -(y^\mu)_{\mathsf{n}}, \cdots, -(y^\mu)_1, 0, 0, \cdots), \quad (y^\mu)_i = y_i - \frac{\bar{w}_i}{\bar{w}_\mu} y_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Here for the second map, we have used the fact that $s_\lambda(x|a)$ does not involve a_i for all $i > \mathsf{n}$ if $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ by definition. The property (ii) in Section 3.1 implies that the image of $s^{\mathsf{w}}_\lambda(\mathsf{v}; x|a)$ is exactly the restriction of $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^{\mathsf{n}}_\lambda$ to the fixed points if $\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ and 0 otherwise. Moreover it follows from the injectivity in (ii) that the map (4.1) factors through the desired map $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}} : \widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \to H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$. By introducing $\deg x_i = \deg a_l = 2$ (and $\deg \mathsf{v}_i = \deg \mathsf{w}_l = 0$) for all $i = 1, \cdots, \mathsf{d}$ and $l \in \mathbb{N}$, the map $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}}$ is a homomorphism as graded $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras. The obvious commutativity $\widetilde{\mathrm{w}\iota}^*_{\mathsf{n}} \circ \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}+1} = \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}}$ allow us to take the projective limit of the maps $\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}}$ on each degree, and taking their direct sum, we obtain the desired map $\widetilde{\Phi}_\infty$. $\square$

By tensoring $\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathbb{Z}[a]$ with respect to the homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[a] \to \mathbb{Z}, (a_i \mapsto 0)$, we obtain the $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$-algebra homomorphism $\Phi_{\mathsf{n}} := \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[a]} \widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathsf{n}}$

$$\Phi_{\mathsf{n}} : \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[a]} \widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \to \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[a]} H^*_{\mathsf{T}^{\mathsf{n}}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})).$$

The LHS is exactly $\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}$ by Lemma 2.8. The action of $\mathbb{Z}[a]$ on $H^*_{\mathsf{T}^\mathsf{n}}(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})$ is through $\mathbb{Z}[a] \to \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \cdots, y_\mathsf{n}]$ that sends a_i to $y_{\mathsf{n}+1-i}$ if $1 \leq i \leq \mathsf{n}$ and 0 otherwise. Therefore the RHS is $H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}))$. Thus the following is an immediate consequence.

Theorem 4.2. *For each* $\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$*, the map* $\widetilde{\Phi}_\mathsf{n}$ *induces the* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$*-algebra homomorphism*

$$\Phi_\mathsf{n} : \mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch} \to H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})); \qquad s^\mathsf{w}_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x) \mapsto \begin{cases} \overline{\mathrm{w}}S^\infty_\lambda & \textit{if } \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n}), \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, this defines a $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$*-algebra homomorphism*

$$\Phi_\infty : \mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch} \to H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)); \qquad s^\mathsf{w}_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x) \mapsto \overline{\mathrm{w}}S^\infty_\lambda.$$

Proposition 4.3. *The weighted Schur functions* $s^\mathsf{w}_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x), \lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})$*, form a* $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$*-module basis of* $\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}$.

Proof. It is enough to check the linear independency. Suppose that

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} f_\lambda(\mathsf{w}) s^\mathsf{w}_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x) = 0$$

for some $f_\lambda(\mathsf{w}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}$. There exists n such that, for all λ appearing in the sum, $f_\lambda(\mathsf{w})$ involves only $\mathsf{w}_1, \cdots, \mathsf{w}_\mathsf{n}$. Then Φ_n send the equality to

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d})} f_\lambda(w_1 + u/\mathsf{d}, \cdots, w_\mathsf{n} + u/\mathsf{d}) \overline{\mathrm{w}}S^\mathsf{n}_\lambda = 0.$$

This holds for arbitrary $w_1, \cdots, w_\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and since $\{\overline{\mathrm{w}}S^\mathsf{n}_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}(\mathsf{d},\mathsf{n})}$ is a linearly independent set, we conclude that $f_\lambda(\mathsf{w})$ is identically 0 for all λ appearing in the sum. $\square$

Remark 4.4. The homomorphisms $\widetilde{\Phi}_\infty$ in Theorem 4.1 and Φ_∞ in Theorem 4.2 can be made into isomorphisms by evaluating the w-variables. Namely, let

$$\begin{aligned} w\mathrm{Sch} &:= \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}} \mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch} \subset (\mathbb{Q}[\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_\mathsf{d}} \\ \widetilde{w\mathrm{Sch}} &:= \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[a]} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[a]} \widetilde{\mathsf{w}\mathrm{Sch}} \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[a]}([\mathsf{v}]_{\mathrm{loc}}[x])^{\mathfrak{S}_\mathsf{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

where the tensor products are given by $\mathbb{Z}[\mathsf{w}]_{\mathrm{loc}} \to \mathbb{Q} \ \ (\mathsf{w}_i \mapsto w_i + u/\mathsf{d})$. Then clearly Φ_∞ and $\widetilde{\Phi}_\infty$ induce the isomorphisms

$$\Phi^w_\infty : w\mathrm{Sch} \overset{\cong}{\to} H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{\Phi}^w_\infty : \widetilde{w\mathrm{Sch}} \overset{\cong}{\to} H^*(\overline{\mathrm{w}}\mathrm{Gr}(\mathsf{d},\infty)_\mathsf{T}).$$

Here $\widetilde{\Phi}^w_\infty$ is an algebra isomorphism with respect to $\widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[a]} \cong \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}[\overline{y}]}$ defined by $a_l \mapsto -\overline{y}_l$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then these isomorphisms send the evaluated weighted (factorial) Schur functions

$$s^w_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x) := s^\mathsf{w}_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x)|_{\mathsf{w}_l = w_l + u/d, l \in \mathbb{N}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad s^w_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x|a) := s^\mathsf{w}_\lambda(\mathsf{v};x|a)|_{\mathsf{w}_l = w_l + u/d, l \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

to $\overline{\mathrm{w}}S^\infty_\lambda$ and $\overline{\mathrm{w}}\widetilde{S}^\infty_\lambda$ respectively.

REFERENCES

[1] Hiraku Abe and Tomoo Matsumura. Equivariant Cohomology of Weighted Grassmannians and Weighted Schubert Classes. `arXiv:1207.2216`.

[2] L. C. Biedenharn and J. D. Louck. A new class of symmetric polynomials defined in terms of tableaux. *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 10(4):396–438, 1989.

[3] Alessio Corti and Miles Reid. Weighted Grassmannians. In *Algebraic geometry*, pages 141–163. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2002.

[4] Sergey Fomin, Sergei Gelfand, and Alexander Postnikov. Quantum Schubert polynomials. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 10(3):565–596, 1997.

[5] Takeshi Ikeda. Schubert classes in the equivariant cohomology of the Lagrangian Grassmannian. *Adv. Math.*, 215(1):1–23, 2007.

[6] V. N. Ivanov. The dimension of skew shifted Young diagrams, and projective characters of the infinite symmetric group. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 240(Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 2):115–135, 292–293, 1997.

[7] V. N. Ivanov. Interpolation analogues of Schur Q-functions. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)*, 307(Teor. Predst. Din. Sist. Komb. i Algoritm. Metody. 10):99–119, 281–282, 2004.

[8] Allen Knutson and Terence Tao. Puzzles and (equivariant) cohomology of Grassmannians. *Duke Math. J.*, 119(2):221–260, 2003.

[9] Alain Lascoux and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. Polynômes de Schubert. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 294(13):447–450, 1982.

[10] I. G. Macdonald. Schubert polynomials. In *Surveys in combinatorics, 1991 (Guildford, 1991)*, volume 166 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 73–99.

[11] Alexander I. Molev and Bruce E. Sagan. A Littlewood-Richardson rule for factorial Schur functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 351(11):4429–4443, 1999.

[12] Andrei Okounkov. Quantum immanants and higher Capelli identities. *Transform. Groups*, 1(1-2):99–126, 1996.

Hiraku Abe, Department of Mathematics and Information of Science, Tokyo Metropolitan University, Minami-Ohsawa 1-1, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, JAPAN
E-mail address: abe-hiraku@ed.tmu.ac.jp

Tomoo Matsumura, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Algebraic Structure and its Applications Research Center, KAIST, 291 Daehak-ro Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, SOUTH KOREA
E-mail address: tomoomatsumura@kaist.ac.kr